



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,056	04/19/2004	Jim R. Nielsen	119166.001042 (72-03-025)	2281
38851	7590	08/20/2008	EXAMINER	
Stephen R. Loe			STRANGE, AARON N	
Law Office of Stephen R. Loe				
P.O. Box 649			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Frisco, TX 75034			2153	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

loe@friscopatents.com
laura.zavala@eds.com
edsipcorrespondence@eds.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/827,056	NIELSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AARON STRANGE	2153	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 May 2008.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Examiner would like to note that the present application has been reassigned to a new Examiner.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendments are sufficient to overcome all objections to the claims, drawings and specification, as well as the rejection presented under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

Applicant merely asserts that Reznik fails to teach the "changes to the location of the web site made after the e-mail has been sent to the recipient do not effect the ability of the recipient to access the web site" (Remarks 16). The Examiner respectfully disagrees, since Reznik discloses that the email or other location of the specific creative contains only the XID code, not the target URL (¶25-26). The XID is used by the redirection server to determine the appropriate destination by querying a database at the redirection server (¶53). It would have been apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that this arrangement would allow the location of the destination web site to be changed without affecting the ability of recipients to access the web site, since the only

correlation between the XID and the destination web site is the mapping stored in the database at the redirection server.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-15, 17-20, 22-25 and 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reznick (US 2003/0014539).

6. In regards to claims 1, 5, and 9 Reznick discloses, a computer program product in computer readable media for use in data processing systems, a system, and a method for directing a recipient of an e-mail to a web site, the method comprising:

creating an e-mail with an embedded link (**Fig. 1 #102 and ¶0025 line(s) 11-14**), wherein the embedded link comprises a link to a redirect server (**Fig. 1 #106**) and link attributes that allow the redirect server to determine a current web site associated with the embedded link (**¶0026, teach that the link directs the user to a redirection server and has XID code (link attributes) that allows for the server to determine the desired destination site.**);

sending the e-mail to a recipient (**¶0026 line(s) 5-8, teach the XID code is also used to identify the media source (Fig. 1 #102, banner ad, text link, HTML encoded e-mail, Etc.)** used. Therefore it is inherent that an email is sent to a recipient to identify the media source of HTML encoded e-mail.);

receiving the e-mail at the recipient's data processing system (**¶0049 line(s) 5-7, teach the user identifying a creative of interest at their system.** Therefore, it is inherent that the user received an email at their data processing system.);

responsive to the recipient selecting the embedded link, sending link attributes from the recipient's data processing system to the redirect server; receiving the link attributes at the redirect server (**Fig. 1 and ¶0049 line(s) 7-12, teach after the user selects the link, transmitting the request the XID code #103 (link attributes) to the XID processing engine (#108) on the server (#106, redirection server), and the server receiving the XID code.**);

determining, at the redirect server, the universal resource locator for the current web site associated with the embedded link (**Fig. 3 #302-310 and ¶0053;**

sending the universal resource locator from the redirect server to the recipient; and retrieving, at the recipient's data processing system, the contents from the current web site utilizing the universal resource locator received from the redirect server (**Fig. 1, Fig. 3 #308, and ¶0027 line(s) 9-10, teach the redirecting the user to the destination website (#118 redirection web page).** Therefore, it is inherent that once the redirection occurs that the user is sent the link and the webpage (#118

redirection web page) displays the appropriate contents from the URL received from the redirect server #106),

wherein changes to the location of the web site made after the e-mail has been sent to the recipient do not effect the ability of the recipient to access the web site (the email contains only the XID code, which is later correlated to the web site at the redirection server)(¶53).

7. In regards to claims 2, 6, 10 Reznick discloses, wherein link attributes and associated universal resource locators corresponding to the link attributes are contained in a link attribute properties file which may be accessed by a redirect server to determine the universal resource locator for the web site associated with the link attributes received from the recipient (**Fig. 9 and ¶0072, teach the target code #902, which is part of the XID code #103 (link attribute), is associated with URL #904 in the system database #112 (link attribute properties file) that the redirect server #106 has access to.**)

8. In regards to claims 3, 7, 11 Reznick discloses, wherein the link attribute properties file may be updated to contain the most recent universal resource locators as necessary (**Fig. 5 #504 and ¶0067 line(s) 6-14, teach the administrator has rights to update/edit the URL as necessary.**)

9. In regards to claims 4, 8, 12 Reznick discloses, wherein the link attributes comprise a key and the link attribute properties file contains key/universal resource

locator pairs allowing the redirect server to search a key and retrieve an appropriate corresponding universal resource locator (**¶0040-0046, Fig. 9, and ¶0072, teach the XID code #103 (link attribute) is a combination of several other codes (keys), including a target code #902 (key) that is associated with the URL, in the system database #112 (link attribute properties file) to allow the redirect server #106 the ability to match the URL.**).

10. In regards to claims 13, 18, and 23 Reznick discloses, a computer program product in a computer readable media for use in a data processing system, a system, and a method for creating and sending an e-mail with embedded links, the method comprising:

creating an e-mail (**Fig. 1 #102 and ¶0025 line(s) 11-14;**)
embedding a link to a redirect server (**Fig. 1 #106**) in the e-mail to create an e-mail with an embedded link, wherein the link to the redirect server comprises link attributes (**Fig. 1 #103**) enabling the redirect server to determine a current location for a web site for which it is desired that a recipient of the e-mail with an embedded link visit (**¶0026, teach that the link directs the user to a redirection server and has XID code (link attributes) that allows for the server to determine the desired destination site.**);

sending the e-mail with an embedded link to a recipient (**¶0026 line(s) 5-8, teach the XID code is also used to identify the media source (Fig. 1 #102, banner ad, text link, HTML encoded e-mail, Etc.) used. Therefore it is inherent that an email is sent to a recipient to identify the media source of HTML encoded e-mail.**)

wherein changes to the location of the web site made after the e-mail has been sent to the recipient do not effect the ability of the recipient to access the web site (the email contains only the XID code, which is later correlated to the web site at the redirection server)(¶53).

11. In regards to claims 14, 19, 24 Reznick discloses, wherein the link attributes comprises a key wherein the key corresponds to a key maintained in a properties file which associates web site locations with keys (**¶0040-0046, Fig. 9, and ¶0072, teach the XID code #103 (link attribute) is a combination of several other codes (keys), including a target code #902 (key) that is associated with the URL, in the system database #112 (link attribute properties file) to allow the redirect server #106 the ability to match the URL.**).

12. In regards to claims 15, 20 and 25, Reznick discloses, wherein the web site location is a universal resource locator (**Fig. 9 #904**).

13. In regards to claims 17, 22 and 27, Reznick discloses, wherein the properties file is a database (**Fig. 1 #112 and ¶0072 line(s) 10-13**).

14. In regards to claims 28, 30, and 32 Reznick discloses, a computer program product in a computer readable media for use in a data processing system, a system, and a method for retrieving a web site associated with a link in an e-mail, the method comprising:

receiving an e-mail with an embedded link (**¶0049 line(s) 5-7, teach the user identifying a creative of interest at their system. Therefore, it is inherent that the user received an email with an embedded link.**);

responsive to selection of the embedded link by a user; sending link attributes contained in the embedded link to a redirect server indicated by the embedded link (**Fig. 1 and ¶0049 line(s) 7-12, teach after the user selects the link, transmitting the request the XID code #103 (link attributes) to the XID processing engine (#108) on the server (#106, redirection server), and the server receiving the XID code.**);

receiving a web site location from the redirect server;
retrieving content from the web site location (**Col. 7 line(s) 9-15, teach opening/presenting the web site/page. Therefore, it teaches retrieving content.**);

wherein changes to the location of the web site made after the e-mail has been sent to the recipient do not effect the ability of the recipient to access the web site (the email contains only the XID code, which is later correlated to the web site at the redirection server)(**¶53**).

15. In regards to claims 29, 31 and 33, Reznick discloses, wherein the link attributes comprise a key (**¶0040-0046, teach the XID code #103 (link attribute) is a combination of several other codes (keys)**).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

17. Claims 16, 21 and 26, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reznick (US 2003/0014539) in View of Official Notice.

18. In regards to claims 16, 21 and 26, while Reznick discloses substantial features of the present invention (discussed above), it fails to specifically disclose that the properties file is a spreadsheet.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that spreadsheets are old and well known means of storing tabular data, such as the data that would have been contained in Reznik's databases. Storing the properties data in a spreadsheet would have been advantageous since it would have provided easy linking and retrieval requested information.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to store the properties data in a spreadsheet to allow for simple linking and retrieval of the information.

Conclusion

19. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AARON STRANGE whose telephone number is (571)272-3959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Glenton B. Burgess/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2153

/A. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 2153